



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/930,445 08/16/2001		8/16/2001	Timothy J. Griswold	A7807	4414
23838	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON &		ON	SHAH, SANJIV		
1500 K STRE SUITE 700	EINW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC	20005	2627		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/930,445	GRISWOLD ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sanjiv D. Shah	2627					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRI	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 J	lulv 2005						
2a)⊠	·	s action is non-final.						
3)	·		osecution as to the merits is					
٠,۵	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9,18-38,47-68 and 77-93 is/are per	nding in the application.						
•,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	<u> </u>							
6)⊠	<u> </u>							
7)								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
,—	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen)-(d) or (f).					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmer	• •	_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)						
3) 🗌 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2627

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 18-38, 47-68, 77-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ashmore (Patent # 6,738,630).

Regarding claims 1, 30, 59, 60, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention as follows:

A method for accessing Internet addresses based on a request from a wireless device.

comprising: (See abstract, lines 3-5)

receiving a transmitted short-name of a website that a user of the wireless device desires to access from said wireless device, (See col. 1, lines 60-65) said shod-name comprising a code number (See col. 2, lines 49-53) representative of a particular Internet address,

searching a database for said short-name, said database being located at a location remote from said wireless device, (See col. 2, lines 10-15, wherein content server searches the content by mapping marker or short name with content) and if said short-name is found, retrieving said particular Internet address so that said

Art Unit: 2627

wireless device can be connected to said particular Internet address (See col. 2, lines

15-18).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 31, 32, 61, 62, Ashmore teaches accessing domain name through wireless device as described in abstract. Content database is accessed through Internet as shown in fig 1.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 33, 34, 63, 64, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention of receiving short name or marker by context server, which in turn queries content database as shown in fig 4. Mapping short-name to URL or domain is described in col. 2, lines 10-15 and col. 6, lines 54-58.

Regarding claim 6, 22, 24, 35, 51, 53, 65, 81, 83, Ashmore teaches a marker as series of symbols or text string as described in col. 2, lines 49-53 to obtain domain name. It is inherent that multiple marker or short-names could be used to map single address.

Broadest reasonable interpretation of root short-name, separator code and extension is a series of series of symbols.

Regarding claim 7, 36, 66, Ashmore teaches context server which receives marker from mobile device and then sends context information along with marker to content server using protocols described in col. 5, lines 30-49.

Art Unit: 2627

hierarchy.

Regarding claims 8, 9, 23, 37, 38, 52, 67, 68, 82, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention of content server storing pointers to other contents over internet as described in col. 7, lines 35-40 that is equivalent to claimed limitation of searching second database. Internet searching is equivalent to searching plural databases in logical

Regarding claims 18, 19, 47, 48, 77, 78, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention of inputting short name through voice command as described in col. 3, lines 59-62, wherein user uses spoken words. It is inherent that voice command is transmitted in digital form over the network.

Regarding claims 20, 21, 49, 50, 79, 80, Ashmore teaches a cellular phone input device with marker as described above. It is inherent that marker can be a phone number.

Regarding claim 25-29, 54-58, 85-88, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention of marking consisting of geographic location as described in col. 3, lines 12-16. It is inherent that country is described by geographic location.

Regarding claims 89-93, Ashmore teaches the claimed invention of registering and dialing a number other than phone number as shown in fig 1, wherein the marker is 42. Similarly a context and content server maps markers with domain address. It is inherent that marker or short-name is registered.

Art Unit: 2627

Response to Arguments

Page 5

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 18-38, 47-68, 77-93 has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv D. Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4098. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh M. Mehta can be reached on (571) 272-7453. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2627

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanjiv D. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

S. Shah October 15, 2005